# SUPREME COURT MINUTES THURSDAY, SEPTEMBER 13, 2012 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

**S205371** B233499 Second Appellate District, Div. 7

PAPPAS (MARY LOU) v. SLOMOWITZ (LARRY)

Time for ordering review extended on the court's own motion The time for granting review on the court's own motion is hereby extended to November 14, 2012. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512 (c).)

S099770

PEOPLE v. COOPER (LEON CHAUNCEY)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy State Public Defender Karen Hamilton's representation that she anticipates filing the appellant's reply brief by March 25, 2013, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to November 16, 2012. After that date, only two further extensions totaling about 130 additional days will be granted. An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S138052

PEOPLE v. MATAELE (TUPOUTOE)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Stephen M. Lathrop's representation that he anticipates filing the appellant's opening brief by April 29, 2013, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to November 19, 2012. After that date, only three further extensions totaling about 160 additional days are contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S151172

PEOPLE v. FORD (WAYNE ADAM)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to November 9, 2012.

#### S201322

### JACKSON (NOEL) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel James S. Thomson's representation that she anticipates filing the reply to the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus by October 17, 2012, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that document is granted to October 17, 2012. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

#### S162323

## KERLAN, JR., ON DISCIPLINE

Order filed

The order filed on August 30, 2012, revoking probation of MILTON KERLAN, JR., is amended to read in its entirety:

"The court orders that the probation of MILTON KERLAN, JR., State Bar Number 39719, is revoked. The court further orders that:

- 1. MILTON KERLAN, JR., is suspended from the practice of law for a minimum of three years, and he will remain suspended until the following requirement is satisfied:
  - i. He must provide proof to the State Bar Court of his rehabilitation, fitness to practice and learning and ability in the general law before his suspension will be terminated. (Rules Proc. of State Bar, tit. IV, Stds. for Atty. Sanctions for Prof. Misconduct, std. 1.4(c)(ii).)
- 2. MILTON KERLAN, JR., is given credit toward the three years' suspension for the period of involuntary inactive enrollment which commenced on June 9, 2012.
- 3. Within one year after the effective date of this order, MILTON KERLAN, JR., must submit to the Office of Probation satisfactory evidence of completion of the State Bar's Ethics School and passage of the test given at the end of that season.

MILTON KERLAN, JR., must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination during the period of his suspension and provide satisfactory proof of passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles within the same period. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

MILTON KERLAN, JR., must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order. Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment."

S180890 A123006 First Appellate District, Div. 4 JANKEY (LES) v. LEE (SONG KOO)

Order filed

The request of appellants to allocate to amicus curiae Impact Fund et al. 20 minutes of appellants' 30-minute allotted time for oral argument is granted.

#### **BAR MISC. 4186** IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF THE COMMITTEE OF BAR EXAMINERS OF THE STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA FOR ADMISSION OF ATTORNEYS (MOTION NO. 1,044)

The written motion of the Committee of Bar Examiners that the following named applicants, who have fulfilled the requirements for admission to practice law in the State of California, be admitted to the practice of law in this state is hereby granted, with permission to the applicants to take the oath before a competent officer at another time and place:

(SEE ORIGINAL APPLICATION FOR THE LIST OF NAMES ATTACHED.)